MINUTES OF AN ORDINARY MEETING OF THE CIRCULAR HEAD COUNCIL HELD AT THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS, 33 GOLDIE STREET, SMITHTON ON THURSDAY 21 OCTOBER 2004, COMMENCING AT 6.04 P.M.

1.0 RECORD OF ATTENDANCE/ PRAYERS/ APOLOGIES/LEAVE OF ABSENCE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED

1.1 Attendance

Cr Hine – Mayor
Cr Quilliam – Deputy Mayor
Cr Buckby (from 6.07 pm)
Cr Charles
Cr Oldaker
Cr Weldon
Cr Wells
Cr Wilson

G Winton – General Manager
J van Gaalen – Manager Corporate Services
D Polzin – Manager Infrastructure and Development Services
I Newman – Senior Planner.

1.2 Prayers

Mr Doug Cameron of the Smithton Uniting Church led the meeting in prayer.

1.3 Apologies

Cr Flowers.

2.0 APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Nil.

3.0 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

3.1 Minutes of the Ordinary Meeting of the Council held on 16 September 2004.
MOVED: DEPUTY MAYOR QUILLIAM  SECONDED: CR WELLS

That the minutes of the previous Ordinary Meeting of the Council held on Thursday 16 September 2004, be confirmed as an accurate record.

CARRIED.

4.0 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

4.1 Response to Public Questions Taken on Notice.

Nil.

4.2 Public Question Time

Public Question Time was taken at 6.10 pm.
There were no questions asked.

5.0 PETITIONS/DEPUTATIONS/PRESENTATIONS

Nil

6.0 MAYOR’S COMMUNICATIONS

September 16  Ordinary meeting of Council.
September 17  Official Opening of Forestry Tasmania Offices in Smithton.
September 19  Opening of Dismal Swamp.
September 20  State Government Cabinet Forum
              Signing of Partnership Agreement.
September 21  Rural Health meeting.
September 24  Opening of Stage 2 Hydro Tasmania Wind Farm
              Smithton Saints Hockey Dinner.
September 26  Launch of the Smithton Bowls Season.
September 29  
Presentation by Errol Stewart – Stanley Port and Marina Concept.

October 5  
Cradle Coast Authority meeting.

October 6  
Unveiling of Ceramic Plaque at CHAC.

October 7  
TCCI Breakfast at Tall Timbers  
Presented Citizenship Certificate  
CHETCC meeting  
Council workshop.

October 9  
Smithton Saints Football Club Annual Dinner.

October 13  
SES meeting in Burnie  
Housing Tasmania – Community Project meeting at Smithton Primary School.

The Mayor made note of the award of $2,000 received by Council in the recent Active Towns Award.

MOVED: CR WELDON  
SECONDED: CR CHARLES

That the Mayor’s communications be noted.

CARRIED.

7 0  ISSUES RAISED BY COUNCILLORS

7.1  Response to Questions Taken on Notice

Nil.

7.2  Questions on notice

7.2.1  Cr Oldaker re Unsightly Car Yard – Brittons Road, Smithton

What action can Council take to deal with the unsightly car yard on Brittons Road next to the hospital?

The Manager Infrastructure and Development Services provided the following response:

Legal advice received was that any action is not enforceable. Unsightly is in the eyes of the beholder and that there are no planning provisions for this scenario.

Cr Charles enquired about provisions concerning car yard/commercial activities in a residential zone.
The Senior Planner suggested that the yard would not come under the terms of a commercial business.

7.3 Questions

7.3.1 Cr Charles re Illegal Signage – Crayfish Creek

Cr Charles enquired of the General Manager, had Council erected the signs re illegal camping at Crayfish Creek?

_The General Manager took the question on notice, advising he was not aware of the signs and would report back to the next meeting._

7.3.2 Cr Buckby re Oyster Deaths – Duck Bay

Cr Buckby enquired of the Manager Infrastructure and Development Services, if the Department of Primary Industry Water and Environment (DPIWE) had made contact with Council or was Council aware of the testing?

_The Manager Infrastructure and Development Services replied that DPIWE had contacted him on Wednesday afternoon advising that ‘some’ tests were being done. He advised that our activities show no evidence of trends/problems._

7.3.3 Cr Oldaker re Tourism Tasmania – Discussion Various Issues

Cr Oldaker enquired as to the response by Tourism Tasmania.

_The General Manager advised that he had a verbal discussion with Tourism Tasmania and was awaiting a response from the relevant party._

7.3.4 Cr Buckby re Response – Water Development Plan

Cr Buckby enquired if a response had been received from Mr Scott Marston concerning the Water Development Plan?

_The Manager Infrastructure and Development Services advised nothing had been received to-date._

8.0 REPORTS OF OFFICERS AND COMMITTEES
SUMMARY /PURPOSE

This report covers proposed Draft Amendment No. 01/04 to the Circular Head S.46 Planning Scheme 1995. The Draft Amendment documentation has been prepared and a certification assessment undertaken.

BACKGROUND

At the 19 August Ordinary Meeting of Council it was determined to initiate an amendment of the Circular Head S46 Planning Scheme 1995 to rezone 19 & 19a Emmett Street Smithton from Residential and Rural to Commercial.

STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

Certification

In certifying a draft amendment to the planning scheme, pursuant to Section 35 Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993, a Planning Authority needs to determine that the amendment meets the requirements of Section 32; i.e:-

- Furthers the objectives of Schedule 1 of Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993,
- Is prepared in accordance with state policies, and
- Sections 20(2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8) and (9) apply to be amendment.

A copy of the draft amendment and instrument of certification is to be forwarded to the Commission within 7 days after certification.

Public exhibition is to commence after forwarding a copy of the amendment to the Commission. Public exhibition is to be for a period of no less than 3 weeks and no more than 2 months.

FINANCIAL/RISK IMPLICATIONS

No risks have been identified in relation to this matter, other than the need to assess and process the matter in accordance with the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993.
As of 1 July 2004 the Resource Planning and Development Commission lodgment fee for a draft amendment to a planning scheme has been $228.00. This fee is to be paid by the parties who requested the rezoning.

There will also be public exhibition costs associated with the amendment that will also need to be met by the parties who requested the rezoning.

**COMMENT**

The Resource Planning and Development Commission Planning Advisory notes state that it is general practice for Planning Authorities to informally notify the public at large or the adjoining owners and occupiers of land to gain some comment prior to certification. This has been undertaken through notifying the surrounding property owners and occupiers of Council's intention.

The comment received has been primarily over the impact of commercial activity on abutting residential premises and the assumption that the rezoning will mean the current permit conditions applicable to the transport depot on 19 Emmett Street will no longer apply under the commercial zoning.

The surrounding residents and property owners expressing concern over the ability of the abutting property to be used for purposes that may be detrimental to the residential amenity. The impact being that commercial activity will be located closer to residential development, in particular the existing residential development.

The commercial / residential interface already exists. The draft amendment relocates the interface to include the vacant land (zoned Residential) and the freight transport depot (zoned Rural) included in the Commercial zone. This means that commercial development on the vacant land will be located closer to existing residential development but no closer to residential than the present zone boundaries provide for. In respect to the freight transport depot site in relation to distance from residential development, there will be no change.

The owner of the vacant land has indicated the intended commercial use is vehicle parking associated with the bus depot in the form of staff cars and buses. That vehicle maintenance would be within the existing premises. The rezoning of vacant land means that development of that land may (if deemed advantageous) be required to incorporate a buffer area along the residential boundary to maximise separation between commercial and residential activity. This could mean greater separation than is achievable with the current zone boundaries. The neighbours do not seem to be overly concerned by the parking of cars and buses on the vacant land provided no maintenance takes place.

The draft amendment will not alter the status or application of the permit conditions that apply to the transport depot on 19 Emmett Street. The permit issued in mid 1990’s specifies hours or operation and number and type of vehicles. In the recent past there has been a need to remind the operator over the permit conditions in respect of vehicle type and operating hours due to noise complaints. Although the noise complaint has been satisfactorily resolved for the time being, it is predicted that the matter will re-surface in the future at which time it may be necessary to be more active with enforcing the permit conditions. This is because the nature of the freighting business is changing as the business grows. It is best summarised as outgrowing the constraints of the site.

The draft amendment, planners report, certification assessment and instrument of certification is attached. These are to be forwarded to the Resource Planning and Development Commission within 7 days after certification.
Public exhibition is to commence after forwarding a copy of the draft amendment to the Commission. It is proposed to place the draft amendment on Public exhibition for a period of four (4) weeks.

CONCLUSION

The draft amendment seeks to rezone land currently zoned Rural and Residential to Commercial. The issue is over where the boundary between the Commercial and Residential zones should be located. The draft amendment is to increase the Commercial zone area, and thus enable future commercial development and use of the land provide appropriate buffer to ensure minimal impact on the existing residential development. It is recommended that the draft amendment be certified, forwarded to the Resource Planning and Development Commission and placed on public exhibition for a period of 4 weeks.

MOVED: CR CHARLES SECONDED: CR WELDON

That the Council:-

1. Certify the draft amendment pursuant to Section 35 Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993 as it relates to 19 and 19a Emmett Street, Smithton, and provide 4 copies of the draft amendment, instrument of certification and supporting documentation to the Resource Planning and Development Commission.

2. Place the draft amendment on public exhibition for a period of 4 weeks pursuant to Section 38 Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993.

CARRIED.

No. 8.2

Title: Planning Scheme – Draft Amendment 02/04

Stanley Main Road, Stanley

Proponent: Manager Infrastructure and Development Services
Location: Planning & Development
Reporting Officer: Senior Planner
Date: 21 October 2004
File: 03-042-65
Appendices: Draft Amendment,
To be presented at meeting: Planners report and certification assessment.
SUMMARY /PURPOSE

This report covers proposed Draft Amendment No. 02/04 to the Circular Head S.46 Planning Scheme 1995. The Draft Amendment documentation has been prepared and a certification assessment undertaken.

BACKGROUND

At the 16 September Ordinary Meeting of Council it was determined to initiate an amendment of the Circular Head s46 Planning Scheme 1995 to rezone Part of CT 36739/1 off Main Road, Stanley from Residential to Commercial.

STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

Certification

In certifying a draft amendment to the planning scheme, pursuant to Section 35 Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993, a Planning Authority needs to determine that the amendment meets the requirements of Section 32; i.e.:-

• Furthers the objectives of Schedule 1 of Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993,
• Is prepared in accordance with state policies, and
• Sections 20(2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8) and (9) apply to be amendment.

A copy of the draft amendment and instrument of certification is to be forwarded to the Commission within 7 days after certification.

Public exhibition is to commence after forwarding a copy of the amendment to the Commission. Public exhibition is to be for a period of no less than 3 weeks and no more than 2 months.

FINANCIAL/RISK IMPLICATIONS

No risks have been identified in relation to this matter, other than the need to assess and process the matter in accordance with the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993.

As of 1 July 2004 the Resource Planning and Development Commission lodgment fee for a draft amendment to a planning scheme has been $228.00. This fee is to be paid by the parties who requested the rezoning.

There will also be public exhibition costs associated with the amendment that will also need to be met by the parties who requested the rezoning.

COMMENT

The Resource Planning and Development Commission Planning Advisory notes state that it is general practice for Planning Authorities to informally notify the public at large or the adjoining owners and occupiers of land to gain some comment prior to certification. While no informal consultation has been undertaken, through prompting by a newspaper article, verbal comment has been received.
The comment received being primarily over the visual impact of commercial activity on the historic setting of Stanley township at the base of the Nut.

The land is located on the outskirts of the town. Viewing of the site from Stanley Highway lookout, (the principal vista of Stanley that visitors have) is obscured by trees on the Stanley golf links. From other viewing points development on the land would appear to be a part of the town development. This will be further reinforced by residential development on the balance land.

Commercial activity should compliment the leisure and recreational activities undertaken on land to the north and east of the area to be rezoned. Equally the leisure and recreational activities undertaken on land to the north and east of the area will compliment commercial activity undertaken.

The major concern is that commercial activity on the area to be rezoned will require a more direct access route from the existing commercial and activity areas of Stanley to be efficient and to attract appropriate development. The most apparent route is extending Marine Esplanade through the site to Stanley Main Road. Such a link would provide a desirable access alternative to the golf links, showgrounds and lower Stanley.

The draft amendment, planners report, certification assessment and instrument of certification is attached. These are to be forwarded to the Resource Planning and Development Commission within 7 days after certification.

Public exhibition is to commence after forwarding a copy of the draft amendment to the Commission. It is proposed to place the draft amendment on Public exhibition for a period of four (4) weeks.

CONCLUSION

The draft amendment seeks to rezone land currently zoned Residential to Commercial. The rezoning to Commercial will provide for a large commercial area within Stanley that is not fettered by the cultural heritage issues of the existing commercial zoned area. It is recommended that the draft amendment be certified, forwarded to the Resource Planning and Development Commission and placed on public exhibition for a period of 4 weeks.

MOVED: DEPUTY MAYOR QUILLIAM SECONDED: CR BUCKBY

That the Council:-

1. Certify the draft amendment pursuant to Section 35 Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993 as it relates to Stanley Main Road, Stanley, and provide 4 copies of the draft amendment, instrument of certification and supporting documentation to the Resource Planning and Development Commission.

2. Place the draft amendment on public exhibition for a period of 4 weeks pursuant to Section 38 Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993.

CARRIED.
No. 8.3

Title: Financial Reports

Proponent: Manager Corporate Services
Location: General
Reporting Officer: Manager Corporate Services
Date: 21 October 2004
File: 01/001/40
Appendices: Financial Statements

SUMMARY /PURPOSE

To provide an overview, summarising the financial position of the organisation on a monthly basis.

BACKGROUND

The financial reports presented incorporate:

- Profit and Loss Statement
- Balance Sheet
- Bank Reconciliation
- Receivables
- Cash Flow Statement
- Capital Projects Report
- Special Projects Report
- Cash & Investments – Comparison.

STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

Nil.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil.

FINANCIAL/RISK IMPLICATIONS

Nil.

It is recommended that Council notes the Financial Reports for the period ended 30 September 2004.
Cr Charles enquired as to the progress on Mella Road and the requirement of a warning sign on Hardmans Road.

*The Manager Infrastructure and Development Services advised on the first question that a November completion was estimated and on the second question, he would take on notice.*

**MOVED:** CR WELLS  
**SECONDED:** CR WELDON

That the Council notes the Financial Reports for the period ended 30 September 2004.

**CARRIED.**

---

**No. 8.4**

**Title:** Report: Waste Utility Charge Review

| Proponent: | Manager Corporate Services |
| Location: | General |
| Reporting Officer: | Manager Corporate Services |
| Date: | 21st October 2004 |
| File: | 04/072/09 & 01/047/14 |
| Information: | Various Circular Head Council Minute Books 1999 |

**SUMMARY/PURPOSE**

To clarify the imposition of the 2004/2005 Waste Utility Charge on rural vacant Land.

**BACKGROUND**

The Council resolved at the ordinary meeting held on 16 September 2004:-

**MOVED:** CR CHARLES  
**SECONDED:** CR OLDAKER

That Council resolve to remit to landholders in the rural area waste management charges for vacant land as of Budget of 2004-2005.

**Procedural Motion**

**MOVED:** DEPUTY MAYOR QUILLIAM

That this motion lay on the table for Council to consider further information.

**CARRIED.**
DETAILS

In the 2003/04 year, rural waste charges were $89.85 less a possible rate remission if claimed of $50.00 (based on being beyond 100 metres from a collection point) resulting in an effective payment of $39.85. Vacant urban blocks previously attracted a $33.55 charge.

The Council, at a special meeting held on 22 July 2004, adopted the 2004/2005 Budget Estimates and operational Plan. The adoption included the imposition of a Waste Utility Charge of $44.30 for each rateable property within the municipality. Every ratepayer receiving the charge also receives 10 tip tickets valued at $38.

The charge supports Waste Disposal Services at White Hills and Port Latta owned by the Council.

A number of verbal queries were responded to by Council staff relating to the change from the previous year during the initial rate installment payment period. One written objection to the charge itself has been received.

At the September Council meeting, reference was made to a decision by the Council in relation to Rural Waste Charges for Vacant Land in 1999. A desktop reviews of Council minutes for 1999 reveals reports for:

- Rating – Vacant Blocks dated 21 January 1999 – where a request to “revisit our charges on all vacant blocks ….” was made – the report addressed vacant blocks within the urban area.
- Rural Waste Management Report dated 7 June 1999 was tabled at the 17 June 1999 meeting.
- Operational Plan and Budget Estimates adopted by Council 17 June 1999 meeting.
- Rural Waste Collection dated 7 October 1999.
- Rural Waste Collection dated 10th February 2000 and extensions thereafter.

There has been considerable discussion that year and over the following years concerning the Rural Waste Collection. None specifically addressed the issue of charges for Rural Vacant land.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

There appears to be three principal issues:

1. Approval given by Council to include rural vacant land in the Waste Utility Charge – ie. all rateable properties.
2. Justification for differentiation between vacant urban blocks and similar rural blocks.
3. The fairness and equity of contributions by all ratepayers as mentioned in all previous reports, this charge is for a contribution to maintenance of the waste sites.

FINANCIAL/RISK IMPLICATIONS

The waste utility charge revenue amounts to $195,000 this financial year.

The cancellation of the Rural Vacant Land – Waste Utility Charge may affect a variety of properties, dependent upon interpretation. Dependent on the definition there could be an impact on the budget for
40 – 1000 properties. Up to $44,300 in lost rate revenue and significant time costs of staff needed to implement the change as well as subsequent refunds.

**POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

Definitions for ‘bush blocks’ is extremely difficult to interpret and therefore consistency is also of concern. It may include farm paddocks, fenced paddocks, bush blocks, partly cleared and a multitude of inconsistent subjective assessments.

The Council utilises a land use classification code which identifies numerous alternative activities. Bush blocks as defined by land use codes L33 & L332 total 40 - vacant blocks (including many with improvements) as defined by land use codes V5 & V5L total 215. Other properties making up the total would be specified variously under Primary Production.

**COMMENT**

The contribution towards the cost of providing the Waste disposal services in the municipality centres upon the vexed and ongoing fairness and equity debate. Ultimately the costs must be borne by all, or a determinable group of ratepayers.

The pricing policy options of the Council can follow:-

- indirectly via general rates
- a fixed levy upon all or a selected group of ratepayers.
- user pays
- a mix of the above

The notion of non contribution by a distinct group, on the premise that the property, due to its usage does not generate waste, raises also the principle of direct users pays.

By way of example, if direct user pay arrangements were put in place then recovery costs through usage at White Hills (that is only those that generate the waste pay for it) would increase in excess of 1000% to cover the waste utility charge shortfall. Indicate fees would be:

- One Car Tyre - $53.20
- Ute load - $159.60
- Fridge or Large Trailer - $212.80

The present pricing policy is consistent with the pricing policies for water and sewerage charges. Arguments are presented by vacant landowners that given the (non) use, then a contribution should not be made. The debate shifts however when a request for a connection to the service – and a corresponding demand that a contribution towards the infrastructure previously provided be payable arises.

Similarly, whilst many properties may not generate waste this year, if cleared/developed in the future, a request to utilise the facilities provided today will likely arise.

It is recommended that Council maintains the current Operational Plan and Budget Estimates and reviews the pricing structure during preparation of the 2005/06 Budget and Operational Plan.
MOVED: DEPUTY MAYOR QUILLIAM    SECONDED: CR WELDON

That the motion be lifted from the table.

CARRIED.

MOVED: CR CHARLES    SECONDED: CR OLDAKER

That Council resolve to remit to landholders in the rural area, waste management charges for vacant land as of Budget of 2004-2005.

LOST.

MOVED: CR WELLS    SECONDED: DEPUTY MAYOR QUILLIAM

That the Council maintains the current Operational Plan and Budget Estimates and reviews the pricing structure during preparation of the 2005/06 Budget and Operational Plan.

CARRIED.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. 8.5</th>
<th>Title: Community and Recreation Centre– Proposed relocation/extension to Tennis/Netball Courts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proponent:</td>
<td>Circular Head Community and Recreation Centre Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>Smithton Recreation Ground</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reporting Officer:</td>
<td>General Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>21 October 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>File:</td>
<td>01/018/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendices:</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

SUMMARY/PURPOSE

To determine the Council’s position in relation to a proposal to relocate proposed tennis/netball courts and construct four tennis/netball courts in lieu of the two courts previously agreed to be provided as part of Community and Recreation Centre (CRC) complex.

BACKGROUND

Stage 1 of the redevelopment of the former recreation complex at the Smithton Recreation Ground was substantially realised in August 2004 with the official opening of the CRC main building.
Subsequent to the official opening, the General Manager, together with the Chairman of the CRC pursued an opportunity to secure additional monies for future stages. The outcome of the discussions requires reconsideration of the location of the incomplete tennis/netball courts.

DETAILS

In January 2004 the Council agreed to enter into a contract with Mathews Constructions Pty Ltd for the construction of Stage 1 of the CRC. The construction contract included the provision of two tennis/netball courts adjacent to the south eastern section of the main building. Initial subsurface preparations were completed mid year, with the laying of the plexipave surface, lighting and fencing to be completed by the end of 2004, dependant upon climatic conditions.

The CRC complex, as proposed by a community based committee (the Circular Head Community Recreation Committee) made provision for future additional stages, including a Hall of Fame entrance and the enclosing of the two tennis/netball courts with additional facilities as funding permitted.

Significant donated funds have been secured on the basis of the following alterations:-

- the mooted Stage 3 enclosed stadium incorporating basketball courts and ancillary facilities;
- Resiting of the two tennis/netball courts to an area to the south west of the CRC main building together with an additional two tennis/netball courts.

STATUTORY IMPLICATION

Nil.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Sport and recreation facilities are well recognised as important assets and essential providers in promoting the health and well being of the community. Providing such facilities is an important part of making sport and recreation more enjoyable and accessible. Quality facilities encourage quality participation.

This proposal presents a strategic opportunity for the Council recognising possible longer term recreational scenarios:-

- four tennis/netball courts will provide future certainty for users should current facilities not be available in the longer term;
- the existing basketball activity at the Indoor Sports Centre may be deemed inadequate due to limited “run-off” distances;
- strengthening the ongoing operational viability of the CRC by :-
  - providing increased flexibility in possible uses of a seated stadium (sprung wooden floor versus a concrete based plexipave).
  - encouraging further use of the CRC complex as a “home” ground.
- Provides additional opportunities for future uses of the Indoor Sports Centre.
FINANCIAL/ RISK IMPLICATIONS

Indicative costs for the relocation/extension to the tennis/netball courts are:-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Four tennis/netball courts/relocate WPlus shed</td>
<td>$310,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less:    Credit for incomplete tennis/netball courts</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donations (x2)</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Direct funding by Council</strong></td>
<td><strong>$100,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Future costs for an enclosed basketball stadium would remain substantially similar to the original proposal for Stage Three with the exception of the requirement for a suitable wood flooring system. This additional cost requirement could be offset to some degree given the stronger supporter base and additional users that basketball could bring to the stadium.

It is unlikely any stadium could be completed within the next two years. The timing of construction of such a stadium would, as was Stage One, be dependent upon the extent of external funding commitment.

Savings of some $115,000 could be achieved by providing two tennis/netball courts initially. In the event additional courts were provided at a later date, these costs would obviously escalate due to additional site establishment costs and CPI increments.

There are no budgeted funds provided for this expense, hence a decision to proceed would require drawing down cash reserves or loan funding.

Increased revenues from hire fees and/or an increased pricing structure could be used to offset some of the interest expense should monies be borrowed. The decrease in revenues to the Indoor Sports Centre could be offset by alternative recreational activities taking place in the future.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil.

COMMENT

Stage One of the CRC presented an excellent opportunity to bring together relevant parties for the long-term benefit of the entire community. The CRC facilitates development of community cohesion through a sport and recreation facility built with the combined efforts between the Council and its community.

The (eventual) relocation of the sport of basketball to the CRC complex will further strengthen community cohesion and the viability of the complex. Alternative recreational activities at the Indoor Sports Centre can be further examined and pursued once identified.

It is recommended that the Council:-
1. support the immediate construction of four tennis/netball courts to a position to the south west of the CRC main building;

2. APPROVES BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY expenditure not exceeding $100,000 to implement 1 above.

MOVED: CR WELLS  SECONDED: CR OLDAKER

That the Council:-

1. support the immediate construction of four tennis/netball courts to a position to the south west of the CRC main building;

2. APPROVES BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY expenditure not exceeding $100,000 to implement 1 above.

CARRIED.

Crs Charles and Buckby recorded their votes against the motion.

The Senior Planner left the meeting at 7.20 pm.

---

**8.6**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title:</th>
<th>Council Representation on Council, Special and Other Committees and Bodies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proponent:</td>
<td>General Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reporting Officer:</td>
<td>Executive Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>21 October 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>File:</td>
<td>00/034/23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendices:</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUMMARY/PURPOSE**

The purpose of this report is to reaffirm Councillor representative appointments and or changes that may have occurred to the appointments of the various Council, Special and other Committees and bodies upon which Council has representation since the adoption of the 16th October 2003 and 15th January 2004 resolutions.

**BACKGROUND**

Councillor representative appointments generally are reviewed following each Council election, the current memberships being confirmed on 16 October 2003 with further appointments in January 2004 following a members resignation. In the absence of elections, Council may feel that consideration
needs to be given to reviewing the representative appointments or changes to these and assisting with any changes that are required or sought by councillors.

Arthur-Pieman Conservation Area Ministerial Appointments are listed for Council information purposes.

STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

Sections 23 and 24 of the Local government Act 1993 provided for the establishment of Council and Special Committees as follows:

“Council Committees

23(1) A Council may establish, on such terms as it thinks fit, council committees to assist in carrying out its functions under this or any other Act.

(2) A council committee consists of councillors appointed by the council.

Special Committees

24 (1) A council may establish, on such terms and for such purposes as it thinks fit, special committees.

(2) A special committee consists of such persons appointed by the council as the council thinks appropriate.”

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

To provide clear leadership and direction for the Circular Head community with the objective to foster strategic collaboration with other organisations.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Special Committees and community committees are mechanisms whereby Council interacts with interested members of the community. The Special Committees can set policies for infrastructure directly under their control. Community committees are generally advisory on policy.

FINANCIAL/RISK IMPLICATIONS

In accordance with Councillor Allowance and Expenses policy, travelling costs directly relating to a Councillor’s appointment to these bodies will be claimable. In order to claim travelling costs relating to other bodies additional resolutions of Council will be required.

Councillors are covered by Directors & Officers liability insurance that provides a protection for Wrongful Act, any act, error, mis-statement, misleading statement & omission made in the course of their duties. Section 341 of the Local Government Act 1993 provides general immunity. This protection and cover only extends to committees of Council. Council’s Directors and Officers liability insurance does not extend to cover a Councillor when acting as a representative. In this case councillors need to ascertain what insurance policy these bodies have.
COMMENT

This report is presented for consideration.

It is recommended that the Council:

Review and confirm the appointed representatives to the Committees and Bodies listed, effective 21 October 2004.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Council Committees</th>
<th>Current Membership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>General Manager’s Review Special Committee</strong></td>
<td>Mayor Hine, Deputy Mayor Quilliam and full Council in review process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Special Committees</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Central Indoor Area Management Committee</strong></td>
<td>Cr D Wilson (Cr G Wells as proxy).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Central Outdoor Area Management Committee</strong></td>
<td>Cr J Oldaker (Deputy Mayor Quilliam as proxy).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CH Heritage Centre</strong></td>
<td>Cr Flowers (Deputy Mayor Quilliam as proxy).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Northern Area Management Committee (formerly Stanley Recreation Ground)</strong></td>
<td>Cr B Charles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Southern Area Management Committee (Trowutta)</strong></td>
<td>Cr M Weldon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stanley Town Hall Special Committee</strong></td>
<td>Cr G Wells (Cr R Flowers as proxy).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Western Area Management Committee (formerly Marrawah/Redpa)</strong></td>
<td>Mayor Hine (Cr J Oldaker as proxy).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stanley Caravan Park Advisory Committee</strong></td>
<td>Cr M Weldon.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Community Committees</th>
<th>Current Membership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Circular Head Community &amp; Recreational Committee</strong></td>
<td>Mayor Hine &amp; Cr J Oldaker.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wastewater Special Committee</strong></td>
<td>Mayor Hine and Deputy Mayor Quilliam (Cr M Weldon as proxy).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CH Improvement Program Advisory Committee</strong></td>
<td>Cr G Wells (Cr M Weldon as proxy).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Counter Disaster Planning Committee</strong></td>
<td>Mayor Hine and Cr J Oldaker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stanley Historic Advisory Committee</strong></td>
<td>Cr G Wells.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committee</td>
<td>Chair/Proxy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circular Head Community Road Safety Committee</td>
<td>Cr J Oldaker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circular Head Boat Ramps Advisory Committee</td>
<td>Deputy Mayor D Quilliam.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia Day Committee</td>
<td>Deputy Mayor D Quilliam.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPAN (Service Providers Access Network)</td>
<td>Mayor Hine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circular Head Community &amp; Recreation Centre Management Committee</td>
<td>Cr J Oldaker. (Deputy Mayor D Quilliam as Proxy).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Working Parties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Representatives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tasmania/Australia Day Awards Working Party</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayor Hine, Deputy Mayor Quilliam, Crs J Oldaker and D Wilson.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Current Membership

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Representatives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CH Business Enterprise Centre Inc</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crs B Charles and G Wells (Cr M Buckby as proxy).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CH Tourism Association</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr M Weldon.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CH Weeds Strategy Group</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr B Charles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cradle Coast Authority</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr B Charles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cradle Coast Water Authority</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr B Charles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Highfield Historic Site Board of Management</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crs M Buckby and G Wells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Local Government Association of Tasmania</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayor Hine (Deputy Mayor Quilliam as proxy).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smithton High School Council</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deputy Mayor Quilliam (Cr R Flowers as proxy).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smithton Primary School Council</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deputy Mayor Quilliam (Cr R Flowers as proxy).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Partnership Agreement – Education Consultative Committee</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deputy Mayor Quilliam (Mayor Hine as proxy).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-Forest Vegetation Local Government Reference Group</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr J Oldaker.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MINUTES OF AN ORDINARY MEETING OF THE CIRCULAR HEAD COUNCIL HELD AT THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS, 33 GOLDIE STREET, SMITHTON ON THURSDAY, 21 OCTOBER 2004

Arthur-Pieman Conservation Area Management Committee
Ian Newman (Ministerial Appointment).

Arthur-Pieman Conservation Area Off-Road Vehicle Consultative Group
Deputy Mayor Quilliam. (Ministerial Appointment)

MOVED: DEPUTY MAYOR QUILLIAM SECONDED: CR WELDON
That Council move into a Committee of the Whole.
CARRIED.
Council moved into a Committee of the Whole at 7.26 pm.

MOVED: CR OLDAKER SECONDED: CR WELDON
That Council move out of a Committee of the Whole.
CARRIED.
Council moved out of a Committee of the Whole at 7.34 pm.

MOVED: DEPUTY MAYOR QUILLIAM SECONDED: CR WELDON
That the Council confirm the following appointed representatives to the Committees and Bodies listed, effective from 21 October 2004:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Membership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Council Committees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Manager’s Review Special Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Committees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Indoor Area Management Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Outdoor Area Management Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH Heritage Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Area Management Committee (formerly Stanley Recreation Ground)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Area Management Committee (Trowutta)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanley Town Hall Special Committee</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Community Committees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee</th>
<th>Current Membership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Western Area Management Committee (formerly Marrawah/Redpa)</td>
<td>Mayor Hine (Cr J Oldaker as proxy).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanley Caravan Park Advisory Committee</td>
<td>Cr G Wells.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circular Head Community &amp; Recreational Committee</td>
<td>Mayor Hine &amp; Cr J Oldaker.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wastewater Special Committee</td>
<td>Mayor Hine and Deputy Mayor Quilliam (Cr M Weldon as proxy).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH Improvement Program Advisory Committee</td>
<td>Cr G Wells (Cr M Weldon as proxy).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counter Disaster Planning Committee</td>
<td>Mayor Hine and Cr J Oldaker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanley Historic Advisory Committee</td>
<td>Cr G Wells.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circular Head Community Road Safety Committee</td>
<td>Cr J Oldaker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circular Head Boat Ramps Advisory Committee</td>
<td>Deputy Mayor D Quilliam.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia Day Committee</td>
<td>Deputy Mayor D Quilliam.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPAN (Service Providers Access Network)</td>
<td>Mayor Hine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circular Head Community &amp; Recreation Centre Management Committee</td>
<td>Cr J Oldaker. (Deputy Mayor D Quilliam as Proxy).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Working Parties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Working Party</th>
<th>Current Membership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tasmania/Australia Day Awards Working Party</td>
<td>Mayor Hine, Deputy Mayor Quilliam, Crs J Oldaker and D Wilson.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Representatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Representative</th>
<th>Current Membership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH Business Enterprise Centre Inc</td>
<td>Deputy Mayor D Quilliam and Cr J Oldaker.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH Tourism Association</td>
<td>Cr M Weldon.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH Weeds Strategy Group</td>
<td>Cr B Charles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cradle Coast Authority – Local Government Representative</td>
<td>Cr B Charles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cradle Coast Water Authority</td>
<td>Cr B Charles.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Highfield Historic Site Board of Management
- **Chair:** Cr G Wells

### Local Government Association of Tasmania
- **Chair:** Mayor Hine (Deputy Mayor Quilliam as proxy).

### Smithton High School Council
- **Chair:** Deputy Mayor Quilliam (Cr R Flowers as proxy).

### Smithton Primary School Council
- **Chair:** Deputy Mayor Quilliam (Cr R Flowers as proxy).

### Partnership Agreement – Education Consultative Committee
- **Chair:** Mayor Hine (Deputy Mayor Quilliam as proxy).

### Non-Forest Vegetation Local Government Reference Group
- **Chair:** Cr J Oldaker.

### Arthur-Pieman Conservation Area Management Committee
- **Chair:** Ian Newman (Ministerial Appointment).

### Arthur-Pieman Conservation Area Off-Road Vehicle Consultative Group
- **Chair:** Deputy Mayor Quilliam (Ministerial Appointment).

---

**No. 8.7 Senior Management Report**

- **Title:** Senior Management Report
- **Proponent:** General Manager
- **Location:** General
- **Reporting Officer:** General Manager
- **Date:** 21 October 2004
- **File:** 00/061/05
- **Appendices:** Information Bulletin

---

**SUMMARY /PURPOSE**

To provide information on issues of significance or interest, together with statistical information and summaries of specific areas of operation.
BACKGROUND

Human Resources

Technical Officer/Project Officer positions

The recent advertisement for this position attracted many enquiries with at least nine applications received.

Other

Scavenging Request – Port Latta
A request has been received to investigate viability of a tip shop at Port Latta. The matter has been referred back to the interested party to undertake general investigations and present a business case for establishment at White Hills.

Cr Wells spoke about his experiences and that this could be a good thing.

Hydro Powerline – Smithton Burnie
Hydro are undertaking information sessions for affected landowners. Notices/invitations have been forwarded to the relevant parties, with the local session at Tall Timbers, 13th October.

Cr Buckby advised he went to the meeting where the main issue was compensation.

Montagu Camping Ground
Mr and Mrs Burgess have been appointed Site Managers for the 2004/05 camping season, to commence 1 November.

Camping fees will remain unchanged for this season.

The Manager Infrastructure and Development Services advised that the camping season would commence on 30 October to coincide with the long weekend.

Circular Head War Memorial Swimming Pool – Report September 2004
The swimming season commenced smoothly on Saturday 11 September with the pool temperature at 30˚ with 71 patrons attending.

To date water quality recordings are being maintained at the required levels. The month of September has shown a record attendance on Saturday 25 of 174 patrons utilising the pool. Council has received several positive comments in relation to the operation and standard of the pool this season.

For the 30 day period since opening a total of 3292 patrons have used the pool, averaging 109 per day. The average daily temperature recording was at 29.08˚.

To date only minor behavioural problems have been of concern to staff.

Office Closure – Christmas/New Year Period 2004/2005
It is proposed to close the Council Office for the Christmas/New Year period from 12 noon on Friday 24th December 2004 to Monday 3rd January 2005 inclusive, and reopen on Tuesday 4th January.
This period includes public holidays on 27 and 28 December and 3 January. All other days will be taken as annual leave, rostered days off, banked hours or leave without pay.

It is recommended that the monthly Senior Management Report be noted.

MOVED: CR WILSON SECONDED: CR WELLS

That the monthly Senior Management Report be noted. CARRIED.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. 8.8</th>
<th>Policies for Adoption</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Title:</td>
<td>Policies for Adoption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proponent:</td>
<td>Manager Infrastructure and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>Infrastructure - Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reporting Officer:</td>
<td>Manager Infrastructure &amp; Development Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>21 October 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>File:</td>
<td>00/032/48, 00/032/32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendices:</td>
<td>Policy #42 (pp), Policy #43 (pp)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SUMMARY /PURPOSE

To provide administrative consistency by determining Council’s position in relation to –

- the provision of water connections to properties outside the Water Districts, and
- the relief of water charges.

BACKGROUND

Previously in January and May this year, Council were advised of pending development of the above documents which have now been put to the Community for consultation during August/September.

Nil submissions were received for either Policy, however some feedback was received relative to the Connections policy during the interim whilst the DRAFT was applied.

STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

Refer previous reports.
STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Refer previous reports.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Refer previous reports.

FINANCIAL/RISK IMPLICATIONS

Refer previous reports.

COMMENT

The Policy for water connections was amended to reflect circumstances where Council have historically extended mains to areas outside the Water Districts and property owners have paid rates accordingly.

It is recommended that, to improve administrative efficiency and effectiveness, the Council adopt the following policies related to Water Supply:-

#42_04: Water Connections Outside Water Districts,
#43_04: Relief from Water Charges.

MOVED: DEPUTY MAYOR QUILLIAM  SECONDED: CR WELDON

That, to improve administrative efficiency and effectiveness, the Council adopt the following policies related to Water Supply:-

#42_04: Water Connections Outside Water Districts,
#43_04: Relief from Water Charges.

CARRIED
Circular Head Council

POLICY #42_04
Water Connections Outside Water Districts

DRAFT Adopted: General Meeting 15 January 2004
Final adopted: General Meeting 21 October 2004

AIM: To provide a process by which owners of properties outside the Municipal Water Districts may gain access to a reticulated water supply for domestic use without imposing financial or demand burdens or contamination risks upon Council's urban water supply schemes.

DEFINITIONS:

Authorised officer

An authorised officer shall be any officer of Council who is delegated authority to undertake provisions of the Acts relevant to provision of water supply, or to enter into agreements on behalf of the Council. (Generally, this will be Council's General Manager or Engineer)

Conventional water connections within water districts.

Water Districts are areas within which the undertaker (Council) must allow a connection and provide water supply on request and on payment of appropriate charges. Properties within these areas are provided with a conventional ‘mains pressure’ water supply at the boundary of the property. They are normally serviced by hydrant plugs installed on the mains for fire fighting purposes. Properties within water districts pay water rates when water is supplied or if the land is within 30 metres of a Council main.

Water Connections outside water districts.

Allotments and properties outside the Council’s water districts may be connected by service lines to the Council’s system, however such connections are provided by special agreement (renewed annually) with Council. Council is under no statutory obligation to make water available.

SERVICE LEVEL:

Where water service connections outside water districts are allowed, a different servicing level applies:

(i) No firefighting capability is provided.
(ii) No guarantee of mains pressure or volume availability is implied.
(iii) Supply is for domestic (house/yard) use only, and shall be metered.
(iv) The total cost of installation of services outside water areas is at the cost of the applicant\(^1\), and to the standard determined by the Engineer.
(v) The assessment record for the property is noted to the effect that while a water service is connected, the premises is outside the water area and this information is shown on any rates search made in regard to the property.

\(^1\) Where mains were installed by Council, adequate capacity exists and property is paying water charges, then a standard 20mm domestic connection will be provided at no cost (exclusive of all road crossing works).
(vi) An annual water charge applies. This charge is equivalent to the access charge and water use rate for the water scheme to which the lot is connected.

(vii) The approval or the conditions of approval may be varied or rescinded at any time should authorised officers consider that changed circumstances in regard to the water supply system warrant such action.

(viii) Any allotment provided with a water connection outside the water area, unless specifically exempted by an authorised officer, shall be bound by any restrictions to supply that Council or authorised officers may impose upon the scheme area to which the service is connected.

(ix) Unless agreed by Council in writing, existing water service lines to properties outside water districts shall remain the property and responsibility of the Applicant.

(x) Where water service lines to properties outside water Districts are constructed to a standard satisfactory to the Engineer, they may become the property and responsibility of Council after installation. Exclusive use may not apply in such cases.

Connection Conditions:

1. Water connections approved under this policy will include a water meter for consumption monitoring purposes, a backflow prevention device, and where considered necessary in the opinion of the Engineer, a pressure or flow control valve to limit availability of supply to those time periods when maximum pressure is available in the service line. These provisions will be part of the overall connection cost paid to Council.

2. In the case of water connections to rural or rural residential lots or from trunk or rising mains the applicant will be required to install on their property a water storage tank (4,500 litres minimum capacity) complete with a float controlled inlet valve and a booster pump to provide pressure to their property. No water take-off points shall occur between the water connection point at the property boundary and the water storage tank.

3. Notwithstanding the contents of conditions of connection approval numbers 1 and 2 above, the manner of provision of water connection to individual allotments shall be as considered appropriate in the opinion of the Engineer subject to consistency with the stated aims of this policy.

ADMINISTRATION MATTERS

1. A register of water connections outside water Districts shall be established and maintained by the Engineer. The Register shall contain the lot description, owner’s name and address, water meter serial number and size for each outside area water connection.

2. Reading of meters for consumption monitoring purposes shall be performed at least at annual intervals.

3. A Report be made to Council on applications approved.

AUTHORITY TO APPROVE CONNECTIONS

For the purposes of this Policy, the Engineer at the time responsible for Council’s water supply utility functions shall be delegated the authority to approve water connections outside the water districts under the guidelines of this Policy.
Circular Head Council

Policy #43_04 - Financial Relief of Water Charges

DRAFT Adopted: General Meeting 20 May 2004
Final adopted: General Meeting 21 October 2004

A. Policy Objectives

This Policy aims to:

• Provide an effective and efficient means for Council to remit water charges in cases of financial hardship resulting from inadvertent plumbing failures;
• Enforce to customers their individual responsibility regarding on site plumbing and effective water use;
• Promote equity between all customers.

B. Commencement

This Policy was adopted 21 October 2004 and shall apply from that date. The Policy shall be reviewed upon changes to the charging structure, or at least every five years.

C. Application of Policy

As of the commencement date the following provisions shall apply to all premises rated for supply of water within the Municipality of Circular Head, whether or not they are connected to Declared Water District water supplies.2

Pursuant to ss126 and 129 of the Local Government Act, Council determines that enforcement of payment (in full) of water charges accrued as a result of an inadvertent plumbing failure meeting the criteria set out below, represents an unexpected charge that would cause hardship to the owner/s of the affected premises.

1. Qualification for Relief

1) The ratepayer3 of the affected premises must make application to Council in the approved form, being the relevant application form provided by Council; and

2) A completed application must be submitted within 28 days of –
   a) Issue of a Rates Notice or water account; or
   b) Issue of a Standard Advice notice; or
   c) Any other reasonable advice of abnormal consumption or leakage provided by Council officers or agents.

3) The applicant must not have received relief of water rates related to inadvertent plumbing failure within the past four (4) years4; and

4) The leak must be located within 150m of the connection point to Council's mains; and

5) The inadvertent failure must cause hardship to the customer, and criteria to be satisfied are as follows:
   a) The plumbing failure leading to excessive leakage was not readily detectable5; and
   b) Total consumption has exceeded the base allocation; and
   c) Total consumption has, or is likely to exceed previous consumption.

2 Several premises are rated by Council but not within declared water districts.
3 Refer s120 Local Government Act - Concessions only apply upon application by ratepayer of the premises. In some instances, the occupier may accept responsibility of ratepayer.
4 A person is eligible for relief once in any four year period, regardless of any change of address and subsequent failures at other premises.
5 Pipework laid above or on ground does not satisfy this criteria.
2. Determination of leakage volume

1) The authorised person shall determine by calculation or reasonable estimation the *deemed water use without a failure* by considering:
   a) Previous annual consumption/s; or
   b) Period consumption/s for the premises; or
   c) An other quantity determined by an authorised person after consideration of –
      i) consumption trends for water supply schemes;
      ii) consumption trends at the premises;
      iii) Meter consumption records from the applicant or occupant;
      iv) Working notes, file records or any other relevant item.

2) The authorised person shall, if necessary, determine the *deemed water use* for the water year inclusive of the failure by adopting actual consumption to time of application, plus pro-rata use calculated in a reasonable manner.

   Where full year consumption has been registered, that amount shall be the deemed water use inclusive of the failure.

3) The volume of water use attributable to the plumbing failure shall be taken as –
   a) The consumption registered between the apparent start of the failure and when it was repaired less reasonable normal consumption, or
   b) the difference between consumptions determined in 1) and 2) above.

4) Volume of water use attributable to the plumbing failure will only consider water use within the period prior to the application date. Even though the leak may have occurred earlier than this timeframe, calculations will not account for the earlier use history.

   Examples:
   - Period use 400kL, period consumption previous year 150kL (deemed use = actual use).
     Volume attributable to failure = 250kL.
   - if application made 2/3 through period -
     2/3 period use 350kL, period consumption previous year 150kL (deemed use calculated)
     Deemed water use inclusive of failure = 350 + 1/3 x 150 = 400kL
     Volume attributable to failure = 250kL

3. Determination of charges and relief

1) The total charge after relief shall be calculated by determining -
   a) The deemed charge for consumption had a failure not occurred; and
   b) The deemed charge for consumption related to the failure.

2) The charge for consumption had a failure not occurred is determined by taking the *deemed water use* without a failure from above and applying the use charges for the relevant water year.

   Example: Deemed (period) consumption without failure = 150kL.
   Charge without failure = (150-50) x $0.95 = $95

3) The deemed charge for consumption related to the failure – from C 2. 3) above - shall be calculated as follows:
   a) Consumption up to the equivalent of 100% of the consumption from previous year or period charged at the gross marginal rate paid by Council for purchasing bulk water (currently $0.60/kL);
   b) Remaining consumption related to the failure charged at 110% of the variable cost paid by Council for purchasing bulk water (currently $0.20/kL).

   Example: Volume attributable to failure = 250kL.
   Consumption for prior period = 150kL
   Charge for failure related volume = 150 x $0.60 + 100 x $0.20 x 110% = $112

---

6 Examples based on domestic premises with base allocation of 50kL and use charge $0.95/kL.
4) The charge payable by the customer after financial relief is the sum of charges from 2) and 3) above.

Example: Reduced charge = $95 + $112 = $207

5) The amount of financial relief applicable for water use attributable to the plumbing failure shall be the difference between charges determined in 2) and 3) above.

Example: Actual charge for consumption, as levied = 400 x $0.95 = $380
Reduced charge = $95 + $112 = $207
Relief amount = $173 (45%)

D. Remission and Postponement

1) Pursuant to s129 of the Local Government Act, for properties served by the Circular Head Council water system/s, Council remit such part of water charges as relate to the amount of relief calculated in accordance with the provisions of this policy

2) Pursuant to s126 of the Local Government Act, Council will enable postponement of the balance, after the above remission, subject to the ratepayer entering into an arrangement pursuant to s124 for payment of the balance by instalments, inclusive of interest charges, as per the following schedule:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total water use charge owing after financial relief</th>
<th>Repayment period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Up to $500</td>
<td>5 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$501 - $1,000</td>
<td>10 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1,001 - $1,500</td>
<td>15 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1,501 - $2,000</td>
<td>20 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over $2,000</td>
<td>24 months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3) In accordance with s126(3) the rate of interest applied to the postponed balance of water charges shall be equivalent to that rate determined by Council in accordance with s128 for overdue rates and charges.

4) The last day for payment shall be determined from the date of determination of an application for relief, by adding the relevant period from the above schedule.

5) Any amount which is subject to a remission and/or postponement arrangements, but is not paid by the last day for payment, becomes an overdue rate which shall bear interest at the rate determined by Council from time to time under s128 of the Local Government Act.

E. Delegation of Authority

Pursuant to s22 and s129 of the Local Government Act, the Council hereby delegates to the General Manager the power to approve applications for remission and postponement arrangements in accordance with this policy in any case where the charges in respect of which remission and postponement arrangement is sought fall within the terms of this policy.

The Manager Engineering Services is recognised as an authorised person to undertake and/or supervise the administrative determinations necessary to deal with applications and ancillary issues related to this Policy.
Dictionary

“authorised person” for the purposes of this Policy shall mean the Manager Engineering Services or an other person deemed qualified by the General Manager.

“deemed water use” the actual, estimated or calculated water consumption as determined by an authorised person using reasonable judgement or assessment

“inadvertent plumbing failure” a leak break or other fault, in a fixture, fitting, pipe or other plumbing within a premises that was not reasonably foreseeable or detectable resulting in unintentional loss of water within the premises.

“readily detectable” reasonably able to be noticed through general inspections or routine observations.

Policy Rationale

Council has considered the effects of its’ water pricing structure on customer accounts where inadvertent plumbing failures occur. Given the structure of the charges, significant costs can accumulate in a relatively short period.

Council has also considered the key purposes for provision and management of water supply infrastructure in developing this Policy, including demand management, full cost pricing effects, customer equity and the application of user pays principles. In this regard customers should note the primary focus of the water supply is public health and domestic use, with secondary purposes of fire fighting.

It is widely recognised that due to the changing focus of Governments towards business-like approaches to service provision, it is pertinent for Council to align more closely its operating policies to customer expectations.

Leaks v losses – The operation of reticulated water supplies includes provision for losses (leaky pipes, stolen water, meter error etc). Leaks within private premises are not losses, since the water use is registered by meter then charged for. Reduction of premises leaks will reduce demands for water.

Hardship – Council considers that any charge that might not reasonably have been foreseen may give rise to hardship. It is however a present requirement that water use must exceed the base allocation before any relief will apply (currently 50kL).

Water Purchase Costs – Water is purchased from a bulk water authority. Charges for that water are paid in two components, being a substantial fixed cost regardless of volume purchased and a variable charge for water used. The gross marginal rate is representative of the fixed charge and estimated use charge distributed over the estimated consumption volume. Purchase (variable) costs reflect the cost of water, chemicals, electricity and labour to operate existing treatment plants.

There is no consideration of other maintenance or capital expenses in the rates determined within the Policy.

Example:  
Fixed charge of $600,000  
Estimated consumption 1600ML  
Variable charge = 1600ML x $200/ML ($0.20/kL) = $320,000  
Gross marginal rate = $920,000 ÷ 1600ML = $0.58/kL

Relief Calculation – The amount of relief available shall reflect the level of active participation shown by the applicant. It must also reflect –

➢ the responsibility of premises owners to ensure the good order of plumbing on their premises, and
➢ the marginal cost to Council of water (Council pay substantial costs for the bulk supply of potable water).

Calculations of deemed water use shall generally reflect historic consumptions (of the applicant) at the premises with consideration of any trends.
No. 8.9

Title: Minutes – Western Area Management Committee

Proponent: Western Area Management Committee
Location: General
Reporting Officer: General Manager
Date: 21 October 2004
File: 00/027/17
Appendices: Copy of Minutes

BACKGROUND

The minutes of a meeting of the Western Area Management Committee held on 13 July 2004, are laid on the table and circulated.

It is recommended that the Council note the minutes of the meeting of the Western Area Management Committee held on 13 July 2004.

MOVED: DEPUTY MAYOR QUILLIAM SECONDED: CR WELLS

That the Council note the minutes of the meeting of the Western Area Management Committee held on 13 July 2004.

CARRIED.

No. 8.10

Title: Minutes – Central Indoor Area Management Committee

Proponent: Central Indoor Area Management Committee
Location: General
Reporting Officer: General Manager
Date: 21 October 2004
File: 00/027/32
Appendices: Copy of Minutes

BACKGROUND

The minutes of the meeting of the Central Indoor Area Management Committee held on 21 July 2004 are laid on the table and circulated.

It is recommended that the Council note the minutes of the meeting of the Central Indoor Area Management Committee held on 21 July 2004.
MOVED: CR WILSON SECONDED: CR BUCKBY

That the Council note the minutes of the meeting of the Central Indoor Area Management Committee held on 21 July 2004.

CARRIED.

9.0 MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

9.1 Cr Oldaker re Daylight Saving

‘That this Council calls on the State Government to bring Daylight Saving into line with other states’.

MOVED: CR OLDAKER SECONDED: CR CHARLES

That this Council calls on the State Government to bring Daylight Saving into line with other states.

CARRIED.

10.0 URGENT BUSINESS – BY APPROVAL OF THE CHAIR OR A MAJORITY OF COUNCILLORS PRESENT

10.1 Cr Buckby re Federal Election – Forestry Outcomes.

MOVED: CR BUCKBY SECONDED: CR CHARLES

That Council write to the Premier of Tasmania, Hon Paul Lennon, the Prime Minister, Hon John Howard and the State Opposition Leader, Hon Rene Hidding, to thank them for their support of the Forest Industries in Tasmania particularly those in Circular Head, prior to the Federal Election on the 9 October 2004.

CARRIED.

10.2 Deputy Mayor Quilliam re Active Towns Award - $2,000

MOVED: DEPUTY MAYOR QUILLIAM SECONDED: CR WELLS

That the $2,000 Council received as part of the Active Towns Award be donated to the CRC for landscaping purposes to assist with the hard work being done by the volunteers.

CARRIED.
10.3 Foreshadowed Motion by Cr Buckby for November Council Meeting

1. ‘That Council consider an allocation of an amount not exceeding $50,000 in the 2005/06 budget process towards the relocation and operation of the Circular Head Heritage Centre; and

2. Council actively seek contributions from other Government and corporate sources towards this business.’

11.0 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

Public Question Time was taken at 8.00 pm.
There were no questions asked.

12.0 CONFIDENTIAL ITEMS IN CLOSED AGENDA

12.1 Request for Rate Remission – New Developments
12.2 Request for Service Charge Remissions
12.3 Performance Appraisal.

MOVED: CR WELDON          SECONDED: CR WILSON

That Council move into Closed Agenda.

CARRIED.

Council moved into Closed Agenda at 8.03 pm.

MOVED: CR WELDON          SECONDED: CR WILSON

That Council move out of Closed Agenda.

CARRIED.

Council moved out of Closed Agenda at 8.26 pm.

There being no further business, the Chairman declared the meeting closed at 8.26 p.m.

CONFIRMED:                      ..................

CHAIRMAN:                       ..................